Impact Point Dispersion Prediction for R-Han 300 Artillery Rocket Using Monte Carlo

Ahmad Riyadl¹ , Robertus Heru Triharjanto² , Pujo Widodo¹

¹Faculty of Defence Technology, Republic Indonesia Defence University ²Research Organization for Aeronautics and Space, National Research and Innovation Agency e-mail: ahma052@brin.go.id

Received: 18-11-2022 Accepted: 31-10-2023 Publishied: 24-11-2023

Abstract

The effectiveness of artillery rocket in battlefield is the determined by its impact point dispersion, which may occur due to manufacturing and measurement inaccuracy, initial launch perturbations and atmospheric conditions. Therefore, the objective of this study is to establish model that could predict the impact point dispersion of R-Han 300 rocket using Monte Carlo method. A Generic 6 Degree-of-Freedom equation of motion model was implemented to investigate the impact point. Initially two simulations with 1000 iterations were carried out. The first one to study the effect of value uncertainty of every parameter on the impact point dispersion at launch elevation angle 50 degrees. The second one to study the impact point dispersion caused by value uncertainty of all the parameters at launch elevation angles ranging from 30 to 70 degrees. The second simulation is then repeated with 10000 iterations. This study showed that the dispersion increases as the initial launch elevation angle increases, except around the optimal launch elevation angle that give the farthest range. Monte Carlo simulation with 10000 iterations showed a better normal distributed data than the simulation with 1000 iterations. The maximum difference in value of circular error probable (CEP) resulted from both simulations is very small, which is 3.16%.

*Keywords***:** *impact point dispersion, Monte Carlo simulation, R-Han 300.*

1. Introduction

R-Han 300 is a 300 mm caliber artillery rocket design which is currently an object of research at UNHAN (Muslimin, Triharjanto, and Ruyat 2022), where as an artillery rocket, one of its performance parameters is range (Dali et al. 2019). Rocket experiences disturbances during its flight, so without a guidance system, it will deviate from its nominal trajectory (Nugroho et al. 2021). Dispersion is the distribution of the impact point around the distribution center point (Song et al. 2019). It is a measure of deviation of the trajectory from its nominal value (Luo 2015). Due to its dispersion, the unguided artillery rocket is used as an area target weapon (Ozog, Jacewicz, and Glebocki 2020). The prediction of its impact point dispersion is needed to determine its effectiveness (Katsev 2018).

Impact point dispersion occurs because of the uncertainty in the values of the parameters that affect its flight trajectory (Wiputgasemsuk 2021). Uncertainty of initial condition parameter values when exiting the launcher is one that may occur (Raza and Wang 2022). Fabrication and measurement inaccuracies will lead to measurement uncertainty for the mass and inertia (Le and Konecny 2021). Atmospheric conditions that are always changing cause uncertainty in measurement of atmospheric parameter (Trzun and Vrdoljak 2020). Production process of solid propellant is a source for variations of thrust curve (Fernandes, Sauto, and Pirk 2020).

Monte Carlo simulation has been used in various analysis of rocket flight performance. Monte Carlo was used to predict impact point for guided artillery rocket (Ozog et al. 2020), (Raza and Wang 2022), and (Wiputgasemsuk 2021). The dispersion of unguided and guided rocket at launch angles from 30 to 50 degrees was studied (Glebocki and Jacewicz 2020). The effect of inaccuracy and fabrication quality on the dispersion of rocket trajectory was

investigated (Trzun, Vrdoljak, and Cajner 2021). The effect of thrust misalignment and mass inaccuracy on the unguided rocket impact point was studied (Le and Konecny 2021). The contribution of this paper is that the analysis was carried out with more variations for the launch elevation angle which ranging from 30 to 70 degrees, each was carried out with 1000 and 10000 times iterations for a case study of an unguided artillery rocket which optimal range is 92.7 km.

The purpose of this study is to investigate the dispersion of impact point of artillery rockets resulted from the value uncertainty of several parameters that affect its flight trajectory at launch elevation angle ranging from 30 to 70 degrees. The dispersion of impact point is required as a reference for the area of impact of the rocket. This analysis was carried out using a Monte Carlo simulation combined with six degrees of freedom (6-DOF) rocket flight trajectory simulation. In this study, Monte Carlo simulation was carried out in two cases, namely with 1000 and 10000 iterations. The results of both cases then compared

2. Methodology

2.1. Rocket Configuration

The rocket model in this study is the R-Han 300, which is a rocket designed to replace the SS-80 Astros rocket used by the TNI. The rocket adopted the materials and technology used in the R-Han 122 B rocket developed by the Ministry of Defense (Kemhan), and the RX-320 developed by the Research Organization for Aeronautics and Space of the National Research and Innovation Agency (ORPA BRIN) (Riyadl 2022).

The geometry and dimensions of the R-Han 300 rocket are shown in Figure 2-1. The total length of the rocket is 5.6 m, the diameter is 0.306 m, the length of the nose cone is 1.071 cm, the width of the fin is 0.24 m and the root chord of the fin is 0.30 m. The R-han 300 properties value such as mass, moment of inertia and thrust are given at Table 2-1 (Riyadl 2022).

Figure 2-1: Geometry and dimensions R-Han 300

No.	Parameter	Value
$\mathbf{1}$.	Initial mass	707 kg
	Final mass	381 kg
2.	Propellant Mass	326 kg
$\overline{3}$.	Initial moment of inertia at xb, yb, zb axis	21 kg.m2, 1827 kg.m2, 1827 kg.m2
4.	Final moment of inertia at xb, yb, zb axis	16 kg.m2, 1413 kg.m2, 1413 kg.m2
5.	Average thrust	60000 N
6.	Burning time	11.45 seconds

Table 2-1: R-Han 300 properties

2.2. Trajectory Simulation

The rocket trajectory simulation was made using Matlab Simulink. The schematic of the trajectory simulation model is shown in Figure 2-2. This model cover the mathematical models of rocket, atmosphere, aerodynamic, propulsion, mass, and moment of inertia.

Figure 2-2: Schematic of trajectory simulation

In this simulation, the rocket is assumed to be a rigid body, axisymmetric, with mass and moment of inertia that decreases linearly with time during powered flight. The simulation is made for a three-dimensional space with six degrees of freedom (6-DOF). This 6-DOF simulation is used to calculate the effect of value uncertainty of various parameters on the rocket's impact point.

The coordinate system used in this model is shown in Figure 2-3 (Glebocki and Jacewicz 2020). U, V and W and , P, Q, R are rocket tranlation velocities and angular velocity of the rocket in body xb, yb and zb axis respectively, and m is rocket mass. X, Y, Z are the position coordinates of the rocket center of mass, and Φ , Θ , Ψ are Euler angles (roll, pitch and yaw).

Figure 2-3. Coordinate system used in the model

Mathematical model for a 6-DOF rocket motion is very common, and published in many publications. The mathematical model used in this study referred to previous work (Szklarski, Glebocki, and Jacewicz 2020) and (Glebocki and Jacewicz 2020). The aerodynamic force coefficient was calculated as a function of the angle of attack and Mach number. Atmospheric parameters such as air density, pressure and temperature are calculated using International Standard Atmosphere (ISA) model which can be found in previous publication (Osaci 2018). The mathematical equations used in the rocket trajectory simulation are as follow:

$$
\begin{bmatrix} \dot{U} \\ \dot{V} \\ \dot{W} \end{bmatrix} = \frac{\boldsymbol{F}}{m} - \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -R & Q \\ R & 0 & -P \\ -Q & P & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} U \\ V \\ W \end{bmatrix}
$$
 (1)

Indonesian Journal of Aerospace Vol. 21 No. 1 Juni 2023 : pp 51 – 64 (Riyadi et al.)

$$
\begin{bmatrix} \dot{P} \\ \dot{Q} \\ \dot{R} \end{bmatrix} = I^{-1}M - \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -R & Q \\ R & 0 & -P \\ -Q & P & 0 \end{bmatrix} I \begin{bmatrix} P \\ Q \\ R \end{bmatrix}
$$
(2)

$$
\begin{bmatrix} \dot{X} \\ \dot{Y} \\ \dot{Z} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta \cos \Psi & \sin \phi \sin \theta \cos \phi - \cos \phi \sin \theta & \cos \phi \sin \theta \cos \phi + \sin \phi \sin \theta \\ \cos \theta \sin \Psi & \sin \phi \sin \theta \sin \phi + \cos \phi \cos \theta & C6 = \cos \phi \sin \theta \sin \phi - \sin \phi \cos \theta \\ \sin \phi \cos \theta & \sin \phi \cos \theta & C9 = \cos \phi \cos \theta \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} U \\ V \\ W \end{bmatrix}
$$
(3)

$$
\begin{bmatrix} \dot{\phi} \\ \dot{\phi} \\ \psi \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \sin \phi \tan \theta & \cos \phi \tan \theta \\ 0 & \cos \phi & -\sin \phi \\ 0 & \sin \phi / \cos \theta & \cos \phi / \cos \theta \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} P \\ Q \\ R \end{bmatrix}
$$
(4)

$$
I = \begin{bmatrix} Ix & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & Iy & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & Iz \end{bmatrix}
$$
(5)

$$
F = \begin{bmatrix} Fx \\ Fy \\ Fz \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} gx \\ gy \\ gz \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} Th \\ 0 \\ Hz \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} Ax \\ Ay \\ Az \end{bmatrix}
$$
(6)

$$
M = \begin{bmatrix} Mx \\ My \\ Mz \end{bmatrix}
$$
(7)

Equations.1-4 is the 6-DoF equations of motion of the rocket. These formulas determine the trajectory of a rocket. Equations 5-6 determine the moment inertia, forces and moments acting on the rocket. Ix, Iy, Iz are moments of inertia. Mx, My, Mz are aerodynamic moments acting on the rocket body. Ax, Ay, Az are aerodynamic forces, gx, gy, gz are gravitational forces, and Th is propulsive thrust. The mass and inertia of the rocket will decrease during the propellant combustion process. The mass and inertia of the rocket are expressed as follows.

$$
m = mo - \frac{mp}{tb}t \qquad t \leq tb \tag{8}
$$

$$
m = me , \qquad t > tb
$$
 (9)

$$
Iyy = Iyyo - \left(\frac{Iyyo - Iyye}{tb}\right)t, \quad t \le tb
$$
\n
$$
Iyy = Iyye, \quad t > tb
$$
\n(10)

The initial mass of the rocket is mo and Iyyo are the moment of inertia of the rocket with the propellant present, me and Iyye are the mass and moment of inertia of the rocket without the propellant, and tb is the burning time of the propellant. During the propellant combustion process the mass and moment of inertia of the rocket will decrease according to equations 8 and 10. After the propellant combustion is complete, the mass and moment of inertia of the rocket will be constant according to equations 9 and 11.

2.3. Monte Carlo Simulation

Monte Carlo simulation is a method that widely used for predicting the dispersion of a rocket's impact point (Junior et al. 2022). Monte Carlo simulation is a method for determining how the simulation output is affected by the uncertainty of the input parameters (Jacewicz et al. 2022). This method is ideal for investigating the effect of a combination of various parameters on rocket's performance (Noga, Michalow, and Ptasinski 2021) Monte Carlo simulation is carried out by taking sample of each uncertain input parameter, then simulating a physical model to determine the desired output. This simulation is repeated to create an empirical probability distribution of the output variables. The more the number of iterations, the more accurate the results will be (Trzun et al. 2021).

 The Monte Carlo simulation results are valid for standard artillery rockets if the output value distribution shows a normal distribution (Moon and Gordis 2021). Normal distribution is probability distribution that showing data near the mean are more frequent in occurrence than data far from the mean.

The flow of Monte Carlo simulation is shown in Figure 2-4 (Trzun et al. 2021). As shown in Figure 4, the Monte Carlo simulation is basically a trajectory simulation but with addition of random uncertainty values of some parameters as input. The maximum limit of random uncertainty value of each parameter is described Table 2-2.

Figure 2-4. Monte Carlo simulation flow diagram

One parameter to be calculated after Monte Carlo simulations is circular error probable (CEP). CEP is calculated as a measure of dispersion and accuracy of the rocket (Zhang et al. 2017). CEP is defined as the circle consisting of 50% of the impact points and centered at the mean impact point (Wiputgasemsuk 2021). Value of CEP is estimated as a median from distribution of radius dispersion of impact points (Trzun and Vrdoljak 2021).

Monte Carlo simulation in this study was carried out in two steps. The first step was carried out to calculate and observe the effect of value uncertainty of each parameter on the impact point dispersion at launch elevation angle 50 degrees. Simulations were carried out with 1000 times iterations for each parameter. The second step was then carried out to calculate the effect of value uncertainty of all parameters on the impact point dispersion at launch elevation angle ranging from 30 to 70 degrees. Simulations were carried out with 1000 times iterations for each launch elevation angle. The second step then repeated with 10000 times iterations for each launch elevation angle. CEP values were calculated for each launch elevation angle and for both simulations of the second step.

2.3. Parameter Uncertainty Value

There are many parameters due to their uncertainty values may disturb the trajectory of a rocket, but eight parameters were selected in this study as source of disturbances. These parameters are frequently and almost unavoidable happened in real flight. These eight parameters are initial velocity, launch elevation angle, launch azimuth angle, moment of inertia, mass, wind, and aerodynamic. The aerodynamic parameter consists of axial force coefficient (Ca), normal force coefficient (Cn), and pitch moment coefficient (Cm). The value uncertainty of these parameters are shown in Table 2-2. The aerodynamic values uncertainty is determined based on previous studies (Nguyen et al. 2014) and (Charubhun, Chusilp, and Nutkumbang 2011), the values uncertainty of wind speed and direction are obtained from observations at the launch site, while the values uncertainty of other parameters were determined based on previous study (Mihailescu, Radulesscu, and Coman 2011).

No.	Parameter	Nominal Value	Uncertainty Value	
1.	Initial velocity	20	\pm 2 m/s	
2.	Elevation	$30 - 70$ degrees	\pm 1 degree	
3.	Azimuth	0 degrees	\pm 1 degree	
$\overline{4}$.	Moment of inertia	21, 1827, 1827		
	(Ixx, Iyy, Izz)	kg.m2	\pm 1 %	
5.	Total mass	707 kg	\pm 1 %	
6.	Wind	0 m/s	Speed : \pm 2 m/s	
			Direction : 1-360 degrees	
7.	Thrust	60000N	\pm 1 %	
8.	Aerodynamic	Based on table in	\pm 2% for Ca, \pm 5% for Cn,	
	simulation		\pm 10% for Cm	

Table 2-2: Parameter's uncertainty value

3. Result and Analysis

The nominal range of R-Han 300 for various launch angles is shown in Figure 3-1. The maximum range is 92.7 km, achieved at launch elevation angle 65 degrees. Figure 3-2 shows the effect of value uncertainty of various parameters individually on impact point dispersion at launch elevation angle 50 degrees. The x-axis is range dispersion and the y-axis is the cross-range dispersion. The impact point dispersion as shown in Figure 3-2 is strongly influenced by the determination of the range of parameter's uncertainty value. Since R-Han 300 is now in design phase, the range of parameter's uncertainty value is determined based on works conducted by other authors. For real rocket, then the value depend on the quality of manufacture, assembly and measurement.

Figure 3-1: Ranges at several launch elevation angles.

Figure 3-2: Impact point dispersion at launch elevation angle 50 degrees generated by the value uncertainty of (a) initial velocity, (b) elevation, (c) azimuth, (d) moment of inertia, (e) total mass, (f) wind, (g) thrust and (h) aerodynamic.

Figure 3-2 shows the range and cross-range dispersion due to parameter's uncertainty value. The largest dispersion on the x-axis is resulted by the value uncertainty of launch elevation angle, in which the difference between the maximum and minimum values of dispersion reaches 5077 meters. The value uncertainty of the thrust generates the second largest dispersion on the x-axis, in which the range of dispersion reaches 4087 meters. The smallest dispersion is resulted by the value uncertainty of the moment of inertia, in which range of dispersion is only 116 meters. On the y-axis there are only two parameters that have contribution to dispersion, namely the value uncertainty of the launch azimuth angle and the wind. The largest dispersion is generated by the value uncertainty of the wind, in which range of dispersion is 5908 meters.

The combination effect of value uncertainty of all parameters on R-Han 300 impact points dispersion at launch elevation angle ranging from 30 to 70 degrees are shown in Figure 3-3 and Table 3-1. The simulations were carried out with 1000 iterations for each launch elevation angle. As shown in Table 3-1, the magnitude of the dispersion on the x-axis and y-axis increase as the launch elevation angle increases, except on the x-axis for the launch elevation angle ranging from 55 to 65 degrees, where the magnitude of dispersion on the x-axis decreases. Table 3-1 and Figure 3-3 also showed that at lower launch elevation angle, the dispersion on the x-axis is much larger than the dispersion on the y-axis, but at launch elevation angle 60 degrees, the magnitude of the dispersion on the y-axis is became slightly larger than the dispersion on the x-axis, and became more larger at the launch elevation angle 65 and 70 degrees.

Figure 3-3: Impact points dispersion.

Table 3-1: Area of dispersion

Launch Eleva-	Average Range	Xmax-Xmin	Ymax-Ymin
tion (degrees)	[Km]	[Km]	[Km]
30	33.444	5.527	3.006
35	41.035	5.933	3.834
40	49.141	7.810	4.670
45	59.142	8.943	5.836
50	71.261	11.618	7.655
55	83.114	11.498	10.326
60	91.295	10.426	11.655
65	92.642	9.357	14.156
70	86.303	10.015	16.306

As mentioned previously, the dispersion on x-axis and y-axis increase as the launch elevation angle increases, except on the x-axis for the launch elevation angle ranging from 55 to 65 degrees, where the magnitude of dispersion on the x-axis decreases. This is because at launch elevation angles ranging from 55 to 65 degrees, the change in range due to launch elevation angle is small (as shown in Figure 3-1), so the contribution of value uncertainty of launch elevation angle on dispersion became smaller. The trend of how dispersion changes as the launch elevation changes is quite similar to the result of studies conducted by Glebocki and Jacewicz (2020) and Mihailescu, Radulesscu, and Coman (2011), but Glebocki and Jacewicz (2020) in their work only demonstrated for launch elevation angle ranging from 20 to 50 degrees for an 122 mm artillery rocket with maximum range 40 km, and Mihailescu, Radulesscu, and Coman (2011) demonstrated for launch elevation angle ranging from 30 to 50 degrees for an extinguished fire rocket with maximum range 4.8 km. The data distribution of range and cross-range dispersions are shown in Figure 3-4 and Figure 3-5.

Figure 3-4: Frequency of range for 1000 iterations.

Figure 3-5: Frequency of cross-range for 1000 iterations.

To show that the Monte Carlo simulation results are valid for standard artillery rockets, the output value distribution must show a normal distribution (Moon and Gordis 2021) and (Trzun and Vrdoljak 2020). This can be visually investigate through the data distribution of the output value (Trzun and Vrdoljak 2020). As shown in Figure 3-4 and Figure 3-5, visually it is clear that the distribution of the all output values showing data near the mean are more frequent in occurrence than data far from the mean, and hence indicated a normal distributed data.

Another simulations for the combination effect of value uncertainty of all parameters on impact point dispersion were carried out, but with 10000 iterations. Table 3-2 shows the value of CEP generated from both simulations with 1000 and 10000 iterations. Table 3-2 shows that the value of CEP generated from simulation with 1000 iterations is only slightly different from the value generated from simulation with 10000 iterations. At several launch elevation angles, the differences are below 1%. The maximum difference in CEP value is 3.16% at launch elevation angle 65 degrees. Table 3-2 also shows a trend that the value of CEP increases as the launch elevation increases. This trend is similar to the result of work did by Glebocki and Jacewicz (2020).

Launch Eleva-	CEP	CEP	Delta
tion (degrees)	1000 iterations [m]	10000 iterations [m]	CEP [%]
30	1005	977	$-2.78%$
35	1069	1076	0.65%
40	1342	1342	0.00%
45	1728	1744	0.93%
50	2149	2152	0.14%
55	2461	2399	-2.52%
60	2515	2517	0.08%
65	2533	2613	3.16%
70	2839	2845	0.21%

Table 3-2: Area of dispersion

The data distribution of ranges and cross-ranges dispersions for launch elevation angles ranging from 30 to 70 degrees and for simulation with 10000 iterations are shown in Figure 3-6 and Figure 3-7. These figures show that the data distribution of ranges and cross-ranges for simulation with 10000 times iterations are more symmetric to the mean value, and most data are near the mean, which indicate a better normal distributed data.

Figure 3-6: Frequency of range for 10000 iterations.

Figure 3-7: Frequency of cross-range for 1000 iterations.

4. Conclusions

A Monte Carlo simulation model to predict the effect of disturbances on impact point dispersion of an artillery rocket has been developed for the R-Han 300. Eight parameters were selected in this study as source of disturbances, namely initial velocity, launch elevation angle, launch azimuth angle, moment of inertia, mass, wind, and aerodynamic. The results showed that each parameter disturbance generates dispersion uniquely. The launch elevation angle have strongest influence on dispersion in range, while dispersion in cross-range was strongly influenced by the wind.

The range of dispersion increases as the launch elevation angle increases, except near the optimal launch elevation angle that gave the farthest range. At this optimal elevation and beyond the cross-range dispersion is became larger than the range dispersion. Monte Carlo simulation with 10000 iterations shows a better normal distributed data, which means more accurate than the simulation with 1000 iterations. The maximum difference in value of CEP generated from both simulations is 3.16% at launch elevation angle 65 degrees.

Acknowledgements

This research was sponsored by the 2022 Indonesia Defence University academic research fund.

Contributorship Statement

AR is contributed for developing the simulations and preparing the manuscript. RHT and PW are contributed for analysis, review and editing the manuscript.

References

- Charubhun, W., P. Chusilp, and N. Nutkumbang. 2011. "Effects of Aerodynamic Coefficient Uncertainties on Trajectory Simulation of a Short-Range Solid Propellant Free Rocket." in *26th International Symposium on Ballistics*.
- Dali, M. A., S. Jaramaz, D. Jerkovic, and D. Djurdjevac. 2019. "Increasing the Range of Contemporary Artillery Projectiles." *Technical Gazette* 26(4):960–69.
- Fernandes, F. A. C., C. A. Sauto, and R. Pirk. 2020. "). Static Firing Test of Solid Propellant Rocket Motors: Uncertainty Levels of Thrust Measurements." *Journal of Aerospace Technology and Measurement* 14:e2022.
- Glebocki, R., and M. Jacewicz. 2020. "Parametric Study of Guidance of a 160 Mm Projectile Steered with Lateral Thrusters." *Aerospace* 7(5):61.
- Jacewicz, M., P. Lichota, D. Miedzinski, and R. Glebocki. 2022. "Study of Model Uncertainties Influence on the Impact Point Dispersion for a Gasodynamicaly Controlled Projectile." *Sensors* 22(9):3257.
- Junior, D. S. P. N., J. F. Gurgel, M. Reis, and C. F. Wehmann. 2022. "Development of a Model Rocket Trajectory Simulation Tool with Python." *Revista Brasileira de Fisica Tecnologica Aplicada* 9(2):48–70.
- Katsev, I. 2018. "Evaluation Method of the Artillery's Effectiveness Againts Unitary Target." *Security and Future* 2(4):196–98.
- Le, B. H., and P. Konecny. 2021. "Effect of Some Disturbance Factors on Falling Point Distribution of Unguided Rocket." *Advances in Military Technology* 16(2):199–217.
- Luo, X. L. 2015. "A Method to Quickly Predict Dispersion for Impact Point of High Spin Rate Projectile." Pp. 561–64 in *Proceedings of the 2015 Joint International Mechanical, Electronic and Information Technology Conference*.
- Mihailescu, C., M. Radulesscu, and F. Coman. 2011. "The Analysis of Dispersion for Trajectories of Fire-Extinguishing Rocket." *Recent Advances in Fluid Mechanics and Heat & Mass Transfer* 135–40.
- Moon, S. H., and J. Gordis. 2021. "Exact Solutions for the Effectiveness of Multiple Weapons Against an Imprecisely Located Point Target." *Military Operations Research* 26(3):93– 111.
- Muslimin, N., R. H. Triharjanto, and Y. Ruyat. 2022. "Structure Design of Warp-Around Deployable Stabilizer for 300 Mm Class Artillery Rockets." in *ISAST*.
- Nguyen, N. V., M. Tyan, J. W. Lee, and Y. H. Byun. 2014. "Investigations on Missile Configuration Aerodynamic Characteristics for Design Optimization." *Transactions of the Japan Society for Aeronautical and Space Sciences* 57(4):210–18.
- Noga, T., M. Michalow, and G. Ptasinski. 2021. "Comparison of Dispersion Calculation Methods for Sounding Rockets." *Journal of Space Safety Engineering* 8(4):288–96.
- Nugroho, W., A. Riyadl, A. N. Muslimin, Z. Asiqin, R. Setiawan, R. H. Triharjanto, and Y. H. Yogaswara. 2021. "Study on the Development of Guidance System Technology for 122- 140 Mm Artillery Rocket." *Jurnal Teknologi Dirgantara* 19(2):201–212.
- Osaci, M. 2018. "Comparative Study of Some Terrestrial Atmosphere Models In Hydrostatic Balance." *ACTA Technica Corviniensis* 11(4):25–28.
- Ozog, R., M. Jacewicz, and R. Glebocki. 2020. "Modified Trajectory Tracking Guidance for Artillery Rocket." *Journal of Theoretical and Applied Mechanics* 58(3):611–22.
- Raza, A., and H. Wang. 2022. "Range and Accuracy Improvement of Artillery Rocket Using Fixed Canards Trajectory Correction Fuze." *Aerospace* 9(1):32.
- Riyadl, A. 2022. "Desain Aerodinamika Dan Struktur Roket R-Han 300 Untuk Substitusi Roket SS-80 ASTROS II MK6." Republic Indonesia Defense University.
- Song, X., M. Gao, L. Wang, H. Wu, and X. Cui. 2019. "Research on Evaluation Methods of Firing Precision of Trajectory Correction Projectile." P. 032095 in *IOP Conf. Series: Materials Science and Engineering 612*.
- Szklarski, A., R. Glebocki, and M. Jacewicz. 2020. "Impact Point Prediction Guidance Parametric Study for 155 Mm Rocket Assisted Artillery Projectile with Lateral Thrusters." *Archieve of Mechanical Engineering* 67(1):31–56.
- Trzun, Z., and M. Vrdoljak. 2020. "Monte Carlo Simulation of Missile Trajectories Dispersion Due to Imperfectly Manufactured Warhead." Pp. 0574–83 in *31st DAAM International Symposium on Intelligent Manufacturing and Automatio*.
- Trzun, Z., and M. Vrdoljak. 2021. "Probability of Hitting the Target with an Imperfectly Manufactured Projectile." P. e202100062 in *Proceedings in Applied Mathematics and Mechanics 21*.
- Trzun, Z., M. Vrdoljak, and H. Cajner. 2021. "The Effect of Manufacturing Quality on Rocket Precision." *Aerospace* 8(6):160.
- Wiputgasemsuk, W. 2021. "Reduction of Rocket Dispersion Using Model Predictive Lateral Pulse Jet Control." in *Proceedings of the Innovation Aviation & Aerospace Industry - International Conference*.
- Zhang, S., X. Duan, C. Li, X. Peng, and Q. Zhang. 2017. "CEP Calculation Based on Weighted Bayesian Mixture Model." *Mathematical Problems in Engineering* 2017:8070786.

Indonesian Journal of Aerospace Vol. 21 No. 1 Juni 2023 : pp 51 – 64 (Riyadi et al.)